

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/762,224	07/30/2001	David A. Sanders	7024-497PUR115	2859		
26813 7	7590 06/14/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
MUETING, RAASCH & GEBHARDT, P.A.			PARKIN, JEFFREY S			
P.O. BOX 581415 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55458			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1648			
			DATE MAILED: 06/14/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/762,224	SANDERS ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jeffrey S. Parkin, Ph.D.	1648		

	Jeffrey S. Parkin, Ph.D.	1648					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>26 May, 2005,</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.					
1.      The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires <u>04</u> months from the mailing date	te of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejecti	on.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee lave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee large sinder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered b	ecause				
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> </ul>	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	ΓE below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
appeal; and/or	aarraanandina numbar of finally rai	noted eleime					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ecteu ciaims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		maliant Amandment	DTOL 224)				
<u> </u>		inpliant Amendment	(FTOL-324).				
<ul> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12,19-28,33-38,40-43,53 and 56-58.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>13-18,20,21,39,4-</u>	4-52 <u>,54 and 55</u> .						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a				
10.   The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered by Applicants' arguments are directed toward the claims as therefore moot. The claims stand rejected for the reaso	presented in the amendment-after ns of record clearly set forth in the	-final which was not e last office action.					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)					
13. Other:		<u>~</u>					
		leffrey S. Parkin, P Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1648	h.D.				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicants are reminded that they cannot, as a matter of right, amend any finally rejected claims, add new claims after a final rejection (see 37 CFR 1.116), or reinstate previously canceled claims. Further examination of the application may be obtained by filing a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 with a submission and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e). The proposed amendment presents new issues that will require further consideration and/or searching and fails to place the application in condition for allowance or in better condition for appeal.